




**IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED and DECREED that the  
below described is SO ORDERED.**

**Dated: October 12, 2017.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**TONY M. DAVIS**  
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

---

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
Western District of Texas  
Austin Division**

**IN RE: BullionDirect, Inc. , Debtor(s)**

Case No.: 15-10940-tmd  
Chapter No.: 11

***ORDER DISMISSING PLEADING FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE  
WITH LOCAL RULES FOR FORMAT OF PLEADINGS***

CAME ON for consideration the following pleading:

Motion to Limit Notice (Dkt. #268)

Upon consideration thereof, the court notes that the pleading is deficient in the following respects:

- The pleading does not include the signer's mailing address, telephone number and area code.
- The filing is not accompanied by the requisite form of notice.
- The pleading lacks the appropriate and/or no certificate of service per Local Rule 9013(c):
  - (1) The certificate of service must be signed by an attorney or party (if appearing without counsel), certifying that service has been accomplished in the manner and on the date stated in the certificate and upon the parties required to be served.
  - (2) The certificate of service must list each of the entities served and their addresses.
  - (3) With Court approval, a party may serve a summary of a pleading where the pleading is voluminous, or the number of parties to be served is excessively large. In such cases, the summary shall be filed, and the certificate of service shall be appended to the summary.
  - (4) No certificate of service.
- The filing states an incorrect time for notice to file objections, see Local Rule 9014(a) revised 11/1/2013.
- The filing states an incorrect time for notice to file objections, see Local Rule 4001(a)(2) regarding motions for relief from stay as revised 11/1/2013.
- The pleading lacks the appropriate certificate of conference pursuant to Local Rules 9014(e) and 9014(f).

- The pleading lacks the proposed form of order attached as an exhibit to the motion, see Local Rule 9013(b) revised 11/1/2013.
- The pleading lacks the separately uploaded proposed order, see Local Rule 9013(b) revised 11/1/2013.
- The filing is not in compliance with the current Official Bankruptcy Form(s).
- Other(describe):

The court concludes that the pleading should be dismissed without prejudice to its refiling. So **ORDERED**.

###